ISSN 1600-5368

## trans-Dimethanolbis(1,1,1-trifluoro-5,5dimethylhexane-2,4-dionato)cobalt(II)

#### Jordan O. Lerach, Matthias Zeller and Brian D. Leskiw\*

Youngstown State University, Department of Chemistry, 1 University Plaza, Youngstown, OH 44555, USA Correspondence e-mail: bdleskiw@ysu.edu

Received 10 September 2007; accepted 11 September 2007

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 100 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.043; wR factor = 0.114; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.7.

The Co atom of the title compound,  $[Co(C_8H_{10}F_3O_2)_2]$ -(CH<sub>3</sub>OH)<sub>2</sub>], which is located on a crystallographic inversion center, exhibits a distorted octahedral geometry. The bidentate acetylacetonate-like ligands are in a trans arrangement. The plane through the acetylacetonate unit is tilted with respect to the CoO<sub>4</sub> plane by 17.41 (7)°, which is in the same range as observed for similar  $Co(acac)_2OR_2$  derivatives. Via the methanol hydroxy groups, each molecule participates in two pairs of intermolecular hydrogen bonds that create a network of hydrogen-bonded chains along the direction of the a axis.

#### **Related literature**

For information regarding the synthesis of various metal- $\beta$ diketonates see Skopenko et al. (2004) and Watson & Lin (1966). For similar metal-acetylacetonates refer to Bullen (1959) and Werndrup & Kessler (2001). Varying uses of metal- $\beta$ -diketonates can be found in Mayo *et al.* (2000), Katok *et al.* (2006), Bessergenev (2004) and Fahlmen (2006). The paper by Bernstein et al. (1995) describes graph-set motifs. For related mass spectrometry work see Majer & Perry (1969) and Schildcrout (1976).



#### **Experimental**

Crystal data [Co(C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>10</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>4</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]  $M_r = 513.33$ 

Triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$ a = 5.4390(7) Å

b = 8.7181 (11) Å	
c = 12.0169 (15)  Å	
$\alpha = 78.835 \ (2)^{\circ}$	
$\beta = 80.571 \ (2)^{\circ}$	
$\gamma = 87.946 \ (2)^{\circ}$	
$V = 551.47 (12) \text{ Å}^3$	

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD	4542 measured reflections
diffractometer	2637 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	2380 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(SADABS in SAINT-Plus;	$R_{\rm int} = 0.021$
Bruker, 2003)	
$T_{\min} = 0.572, \ T_{\max} = 0.934$	

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$	H atoms treated by a mixture o
$wR(F^2) = 0.114$	independent and constrained
S = 1.07	refinement
2637 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.89 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
149 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.79 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
1 restraint	

#### Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O3-H3A\cdotsO1^{i}$	0.840 (17)	2.032 (18)	2.867 (2)	172 (3)
Symmetry code: (i)	r = 1 v z			

Symmetry code: (i) x - 1, y, z

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2003); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Bruker, 2003); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The Cushwa/Commercial Shearing Graduate Fellowship is acknowledged. The diffractometer was funded by NSF grant 0087210, by Ohio Board of Regents grant CAP-491, and by Youngstown State University.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BT2505).

#### References

- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555-1573.
- Bessergenev, V. (2004). J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 16, S531-S552.
- Bruker (2003). SMART, SAINT-Plus, SADABS and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bullen, G. J. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 703-708.
- Fahlmen, B. D. (2006). Curr. Org. Chem. 10, 1021-1033.
- Katok, K. V., Tertykh, V. H., Brichka, S. Y. & Prikhod'ko, G. P. (2006). J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 86, 109-114.
- Majer, J. R. & Perry, R. (1969). Chem. Commun. pp. 454-455.
- Mayo, E. I., Pooré, D. D. & Stiegman, A. E. (2000). Inorg. Chem. 39, 899-905
- Schildcrout, S. M. (1976). J. Phys. Chem. 80, 2834-2838.
- Skopenko, V. V., Amirkhanov, V. M., Sliva, T. Y., Vasilchenko, I. S., Anpilova, E. L. & Garnovskii, A. D. (2004). Russ. Chem. Rev. 73, 737-752.
- Watson, W. H. & Lin, C. (1966). Inorg. Chem. 5, 1074-1077.
- Werndrup, P. & Kessler, V. G. (2001). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 574-579.

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

of

 $\mu = 0.86 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 100 (2) K $0.49 \times 0.23 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$ 

Z = 1

Acta Cryst. (2007). E63, m2639 [doi:10.1107/S1600536807044340]

#### trans-Dimethanolbis(1,1,1-trifluoro-5,5-dimethylhexane-2,4-dionato)cobalt(II)

#### J. O. Lerach, M. Zeller and B. D. Leskiw

#### Comment

β-Diketones such as acetylacetonate (acac), and the metal complexes of their anions, have been used as in a variety of areas including catalysis in sol-gel synthesis (Mayo *et al.*, 2000), carbon nanotube formation (Katok *et al.*, 2006) and also as precursors for metal organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) (Bessergenev, 2004). The synthesis of metal-β-diketonates has also been studied thoroughly (Skopenko *et al.*, 2004). We are especially interested in fluorinated metal-β-diketonates, which, due to their increased volatility, are ideally suited as precursors for vapor deposition processes (Fahlmen, 2006) and allow for the detailed study of gas phase metal and ligand association reactions by mass spectrometry (Majer & Perry, 1969; Schildcrout, 1976). Varying the substituent identity and degree of fluorination are some of the routes used to tune the properties of MOCVD precursors and are currently under investigation in the gas phase. In the course of these studies the title compound was prepared by reaction of 1,1,1-trifluoro-5,5-dimethyl-2,4-hexanedione (tftm) with CoCl<sub>2</sub> in a basic aqueous medium and subsequent recrystallization from methanol.

The  $\beta$ -diketonate ligand, as well as the methanol substituents in this crystal structure, are arranged in a *trans* geometry with the cobalt atom being localized on a crystallographic inversion center (Fig. 1). The CoO<sub>4</sub> plane, formed by the oxygen atoms of the chelating ligands and the Co atom, is planar. The Co—O bond distances and angles are within the expected range, with the tftm bite angle being the smallest at 89.82 (5)° and Co—O bonds ranging between 2.0338 (14) and 2.0388 (13) Å. The tftm ligands themselves are also nearly planar with an r.m.s. deviation from the mean plane formed by the two oxygen and five carbon atoms of the two ligands of only 0.0729. With respect to the CoO<sub>4</sub> plane however, the tftm ligands are tilted by 17.41 (7)° which compares well to values found for other similar Co compounds such as Co(acac)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub> and Co(acac)<sub>2</sub>(MeOH)<sub>2</sub>, with angles of 16.70° and 9.71° respectively (Bullen, 1959; Werndrup & Kessler, 2001).

The hydroxyl groups of the methanol ligands are involved in O—H…O hydrogen bonds towards O1<sup>1</sup> of a tftm ligand in a neighboring molecule (symmetry operator i: x - 1, y, z). Each molecule functions as both H donor and acceptor towards each two neighboring molecules. Chains of H-bound molecules extend along the *a* axis due to this network of H-bonds (Fig. 2)·Also, each two of the O—H…O bonds are related to each other by an inversion center located between two neighboring molecules, resulting in an  $R^2_2(8)$  graph set motif (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995).

#### **Experimental**

The synthesis of the title compound was adapted from Watson & Lin (1966). 0.2 ml (1.2 mmol) of the  $\beta$ -diketonate ligand was added to a solution of 0.11 g CoCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.5 mmol) in 100 ml de-ionized water. Diluted 1:1 ( $\nu/\nu$ ) NH<sub>4</sub>OH was added dropwise to the solution until no additional precipitate formed. The solution was stirred for 2 h and the precipitate was isolated by filtration. The desired product was separated from any impurities by extraction with toluene and filtration. Toluene was removed *in vacuo* and the product was subsequently re-crystallized from methanol.

#### Refinement

The hydroxyl H atom was located in a difference density Fourier map. The O—H distance was restrained to 0.84 (2) Å. The other H atoms were placed in calculated positions with C-H distances of 0.980 (methyl) and 0.950 Å (CH). The methyl and hydroxyl H's were refined with an isotropic displacement parameter  $U_{iso}$  of 1.5 times  $U_{eq}$  of the adjacent carbon or oxygen atom, and the C—H hydrogen atom with  $U_{iso} = 1.2 U_{eq}(C)$ . Methyl hydrogen atoms were allowed to rotate to best fit the experimental electron density.

#### **Figures**



Fig. 1. ORTEP representation of the title compound, with anisotropic displacement parameters for the non-H atoms of 50% probability.



Fig. 2. Packing diagram showing the H-bonding network down the b axis at 50% probability.

### trans-Dimethanolbis(1,1,1-trifluoro-5,5-dimethylhexane-2,4-\ dionato)cobalt(II)

Crystal data	
[Co(C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>10</sub> F <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (CH <sub>4</sub> O) <sub>2</sub> ]	Z = 1
$M_r = 513.33$	$F_{000} = 265$
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.546 {\rm ~Mg~m}^{-3}$
a = 5.4390 (7)  Å	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
b = 8.7181 (11)  Å	Cell parameters from 3517 reflections
c = 12.0169 (15)  Å	$\theta = 2.4 - 30.4^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 78.835 \ (2)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.86 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 80.571 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 100 (2)  K
$\gamma = 87.946 \ (2)^{\circ}$	Plate, red
$V = 551.47 (12) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.49 \times 0.23 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	

Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer	2637 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	2380 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.021$

T = 100(2)  K	$\theta_{max} = 28.3^{\circ}$
ω scans	$\theta_{\min} = 1.8^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS in SAINT-Plus; Bruker, 2003)	$h = -7 \rightarrow 7$
$T_{\min} = 0.572, \ T_{\max} = 0.934$	$k = -11 \rightarrow 11$
4542 measured reflections	$l = -15 \rightarrow 15$

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.114$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0734P)^2 + 0.1036P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.07	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2637 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.89 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
149 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.79 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
1 restraint	Extinction correction: none
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit S are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on F, with F set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2 \operatorname{sigma}(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R– factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Z	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
C1	0.6715 (4)	1.2223 (2)	0.26390 (17)	0.0224 (4)
C2	0.5746 (3)	1.0953 (2)	0.21029 (16)	0.0187 (4)
C3	0.4063 (4)	0.9910 (2)	0.27916 (17)	0.0209 (4)
Н3	0.3564	1.0027	0.3566	0.025*
C4	0.3002 (3)	0.8652 (2)	0.24211 (17)	0.0190 (4)
C5	0.1482 (3)	0.7401 (2)	0.33239 (17)	0.0198 (4)
C6	-0.0258 (4)	0.8130 (2)	0.42237 (18)	0.0246 (4)
H6A	-0.1322	0.8919	0.3836	0.037*
H6B	0.0738	0.8624	0.4666	0.037*
H6C	-0.1302	0.7313	0.4744	0.037*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

C7	-0.0022 (4)	0.6457 (3)	0.27254 (19)	0.0277 (4)
H7A	0.1114	0.5960	0.2178	0.042*
H7B	-0.1169	0.7155	0.2314	0.042*
H7C	-0.0977	0.5652	0.3300	0.042*
C8	0.3351 (4)	0.6316 (2)	0.39236 (19)	0.0255 (4)
H8A	0.2446	0.5499	0.4508	0.038*
H8B	0.4352	0.6923	0.4290	0.038*
H8C	0.4449	0.5832	0.3355	0.038*
C9	0.2186 (4)	1.3202 (2)	0.0062 (2)	0.0283 (4)
H9A	0.2177	1.3465	0.0820	0.042*
H9B	0.0805	1.3743	-0.0284	0.042*
H9C	0.3768	1.3530	-0.0433	0.042*
Col	0.5000	1.0000	0.0000	0.01756 (13)
F1	0.9170 (2)	1.20843 (16)	0.26299 (12)	0.0330 (3)
F2	0.6323 (3)	1.36435 (15)	0.20402 (13)	0.0374 (3)
F3	0.5676 (3)	1.22055 (17)	0.37246 (12)	0.0377 (4)
O1	0.6700 (2)	1.10554 (15)	0.10421 (12)	0.0201 (3)
O2	0.3314 (3)	0.84840 (16)	0.13993 (12)	0.0208 (3)
O3	0.1904 (3)	1.15484 (17)	0.01845 (13)	0.0244 (3)
H3A	0.042 (4)	1.134 (3)	0.049 (2)	0.037*

# Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.0234 (9)	0.0219 (9)	0.0237 (10)	-0.0037 (7)	-0.0007 (7)	-0.0108 (8)
C2	0.0193 (9)	0.0174 (9)	0.0216 (9)	-0.0001 (7)	-0.0033 (7)	-0.0091 (7)
C3	0.0212 (9)	0.0228 (9)	0.0202 (9)	-0.0023 (7)	-0.0007 (7)	-0.0094 (7)
C4	0.0170 (8)	0.0184 (9)	0.0222 (9)	0.0001 (7)	-0.0012 (7)	-0.0073 (7)
C5	0.0188 (9)	0.0193 (9)	0.0222 (9)	-0.0032 (7)	0.0003 (7)	-0.0083 (7)
C6	0.0220 (9)	0.0249 (10)	0.0253 (10)	-0.0023 (8)	0.0040 (8)	-0.0064 (8)
C7	0.0262 (10)	0.0320 (11)	0.0258 (10)	-0.0128 (8)	-0.0009 (8)	-0.0082 (8)
C8	0.0242 (10)	0.0216 (10)	0.0293 (11)	-0.0016 (8)	-0.0014 (8)	-0.0039 (8)
C9	0.0318 (11)	0.0192 (10)	0.0339 (11)	0.0005 (8)	-0.0016 (9)	-0.0087 (8)
Co1	0.0185 (2)	0.0168 (2)	0.0188 (2)	-0.00344 (13)	-0.00057 (13)	-0.00835 (14)
F1	0.0239 (6)	0.0389 (7)	0.0430 (8)	-0.0064 (5)	-0.0069 (5)	-0.0221 (6)
F2	0.0544 (9)	0.0184 (6)	0.0456 (8)	-0.0014 (6)	-0.0175 (7)	-0.0132 (6)
F3	0.0436 (8)	0.0443 (8)	0.0287 (7)	-0.0183 (6)	0.0080 (6)	-0.0242 (6)
01	0.0195 (6)	0.0202 (7)	0.0223 (7)	-0.0038 (5)	-0.0002 (5)	-0.0101 (5)
02	0.0228 (7)	0.0193 (7)	0.0214 (7)	-0.0041 (5)	0.0003 (5)	-0.0091 (5)
03	0.0197 (7)	0.0202 (7)	0.0340 (8)	-0.0015 (5)	-0.0003 (6)	-0.0104 (6)

## Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—F3	1.332 (2)	С7—Н7В	0.9800
C1—F1	1.335 (2)	С7—Н7С	0.9800
C1—F2	1.336 (2)	C8—H8A	0.9800
C1—C2	1.532 (3)	C8—H8B	0.9800
C2—O1	1.282 (2)	C8—H8C	0.9800
C2—C3	1.368 (3)	С9—ОЗ	1.432 (2)

C3—C4	1.432 (3)	С9—Н9А	0.9800
С3—Н3	0.9500	С9—Н9В	0.9800
C4—O2	1.248 (2)	С9—Н9С	0.9800
C4—C5	1.537 (3)	Co1—O2	2.0338 (14)
C5—C7	1.528 (3)	Co1—O2 <sup>i</sup>	2.0339 (14)
C5—C8	1.535 (3)	Co1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	2.0388 (13)
C5—C6	1.535 (3)	Co1—O1	2.0388 (13)
С6—Н6А	0.9800	Co1—O3	2.1301 (15)
С6—Н6В	0.9800	Co1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	2.1302 (15)
С6—Н6С	0.9800	О3—НЗА	0.840 (17)
C7—H7A	0.9800		
F3—C1—F1	106.65 (17)	С5—С8—Н8А	109.5
F3—C1—F2	107.19 (17)	С5—С8—Н8В	109.5
F1—C1—F2	106.60 (16)	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
F3—C1—C2	114.14 (16)	С5—С8—Н8С	109.5
F1—C1—C2	111.10 (16)	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
F2—C1—C2	110.78 (16)	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5
O1—C2—C3	130.15 (17)	O3—C9—H9A	109.5
O1—C2—C1	112.25 (16)	O3—C9—H9B	109.5
C3—C2—C1	117.60 (17)	Н9А—С9—Н9В	109.5
C2—C3—C4	124.26 (18)	О3—С9—Н9С	109.5
С2—С3—Н3	117.9	Н9А—С9—Н9С	109.5
С4—С3—Н3	117.9	Н9В—С9—Н9С	109.5
O2—C4—C3	123.37 (17)	O2—Co1—O2 <sup>i</sup>	180.00 (6)
O2—C4—C5	117.62 (16)	O2—Co1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	90.18 (5)
C3—C4—C5	118.97 (17)	O2 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	89.82 (5)
C7—C5—C8	109.26 (17)	O2—Co1—O1	89.82 (5)
C7—C5—C6	110.21 (16)	O2 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—O1	90.18 (5)
C8—C5—C6	109.09 (17)	O1 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—O1	180.00 (6)
C7—C5—C4	109.43 (16)	O2—Co1—O3	89.28 (6)
C8—C5—C4	107.12 (15)	O2 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—O3	90.72 (6)
C6—C5—C4	111.66 (15)	O1 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—O3	90.09 (6)
С5—С6—Н6А	109.5	O1—Co1—O3	89.91 (6)
С5—С6—Н6В	109.5	O2—Co1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	90.72 (6)
Н6А—С6—Н6В	109.5	O2 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	89.28 (6)
С5—С6—Н6С	109.5	O1 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	89.91 (6)
Н6А—С6—Н6С	109.5	O1—Co1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	90.09 (6)
H6B—C6—H6C	109.5	O3—Co1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	179.999 (1)
С5—С7—Н7А	109.5	C2	119.97 (12)
С5—С7—Н7В	109.5	C4—O2—Co1	127.11 (13)
H7A—C7—H7B	109.5	C9—O3—Co1	122.65 (12)
С5—С7—Н7С	109.5	С9—О3—НЗА	107 (2)
H7A—C7—H7C	109.5	Со1—О3—НЗА	129 (2)
H7B—C7—H7C	109.5		
F3—C1—C2—O1	176.81 (17)	C3—C2—O1—Co1	19.4 (3)

F1-C1-C2-O1	-62.6 (2)	C1—C2—O1—Co1	-160.77 (12)
F2-C1-C2-O1	55.7 (2)	O2—Co1—O1—C2	-23.55 (14)
F3—C1—C2—C3	-3.3 (3)	O2 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—O1—C2	156.45 (14)
F1—C1—C2—C3	117.3 (2)	O3—Co1—O1—C2	65.73 (14)
F2-C1-C2-C3	-124.4 (2)	O3 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—O1—C2	-114.27 (14)
O1—C2—C3—C4	0.3 (3)	C3—C4—O2—Co1	-7.2 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-179.48 (18)	C5—C4—O2—Co1	175.20 (12)
C2—C3—C4—O2	-7.8 (3)	O1 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—O2—C4	-160.79 (16)
C2—C3—C4—C5	169.72 (18)	O1—Co1—O2—C4	19.21 (16)
O2—C4—C5—C7	-17.5 (2)	O3—Co1—O2—C4	-70.70 (16)
C3—C4—C5—C7	164.78 (18)	O3 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—O2—C4	109.30 (16)
O2—C4—C5—C8	100.8 (2)	O2—Co1—O3—C9	137.10 (16)
C3—C4—C5—C8	-76.9 (2)	O2 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—O3—C9	-42.90 (16)
O2—C4—C5—C6	-139.84 (19)	O1 <sup>i</sup> —Co1—O3—C9	-132.72 (16)
C3—C4—C5—C6	42.5 (2)	O1—Co1—O3—C9	47.28 (16)
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1$ , $-y+2$ , $-z$ .			

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· $A$
O3—H3A···O1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.840 (17)	2.032 (18)	2.867 (2)	172 (3)
Symmetry codes: (ii) $x-1$ , $y$ , $z$ .				



Fig. 2

